

# **Community Involvement:**

# **Evidence-Informed Practice Brief**

# WHAT DO WE MEAN?

Community Involvement refers to partnerships between school and community-based groups or organizations.1 Community-based groups and organizations can include local businesses, civic organizations, social service agencies, health clinics, colleges and universities, and faithbased organizations. Partnerships can involve formats such as service-learning opportunities for students (e.g., volunteering, community service activities) and resource sharing (e.g., space, services). Sharing of resources can be particularly helpful in promoting service access by extending supports offered by the school into the



community (e.g., adult learning opportunities) and facilitating access to additional supports in the community (e.g., wraparound services, enrichment opportunities). All partnerships rely on communication to connect and coordinate information across school and community contexts.

Community involvement can build school capacity to align practices with needs and priorities in the community. Therefore, engaging in community partnerships should be a priority for school systems.<sup>2</sup> Federal initiatives, such as Title IV of Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), require districts and schools to develop programming for school-community partnerships and to involve community members on district or school wellness committees.<sup>3,4</sup> Schools can enhance their capacity for community involvement by first developing plans for engagement and some may choose to create advisory groups.<sup>2,5</sup> These groups should be reflective of the community. Schools can collaborate with community partners to conduct a needs assessment of the strengths and areas for improvement of available supports (e.g., resources, services) in the school and community, and then create a shared vision and plan that includes partnership strategies and timelines. Schools and community partners can also work together to evaluate partnerships and develop plans to sustain collaboration over time.<sup>5</sup> In addition, schools can inform students and families of meaningful opportunities and supports available in the community.<sup>2</sup>



#### WHY IS IT RELEVANT TO CHILD OUTCOMES?

## **Physical Health Outcomes**

Community involvement has important connections to student physical health. For example, school-based programs targeting obesity prevention in early elementary students that incorporate a community involvement component are associated with greater decreases in the body mass index (BMI) of students compared to programs without community involvement.<sup>6</sup> Further, coordination between schools and community partners has shown to enhance health outcomes for students, schools, and the community.<sup>7,8,9</sup> For example, school health programs that include community partners and supports demonstrate improved dietary intake and increased levels of physical activity among students.<sup>8</sup> In addition, research has found that when schools coordinate their school and community health-related policies with interventions that address varied needs, both children and families demonstrate significant improvements in physical health (e.g., BMI, physical fitness).<sup>7</sup>

# Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Health Outcomes

Community involvement can promote positive outcomes for student social, emotional, and behavioral health. For example, participation in activities at religious centers or places of worship is associated with positive mental health outcomes for adolescents. <sup>10,11</sup> Further, involvement in extracurricular activities (e.g., sports, clubs) and employment may serve as protective factors against adolescent mental health concerns. <sup>10</sup> In addition, youth who are actively involved in political, community, and helping activities report higher levels of self-esteem, optimism, and perceived social supports. <sup>12</sup> Adolescent involvement in the community is associated with higher quality of communication with family members, life satisfaction, and social self-esteem along with reduced feelings of loneliness. <sup>13</sup> Community involvement that includes mentoring and service learning has been shown to promote positive behavior and prevent problem behaviors. <sup>14</sup> In addition, schools that collaborate with community mental health centers are more likely to implement targeted intervention programs for students demonstrating social, emotional, or behavioral concerns. <sup>15</sup>

#### **Academic Outcomes**

School-community partnerships are associated with positive outcomes for student academic performance and overall school improvement. Specifically, these partnerships are associated with higher levels of student achievement and success, increased attendance and graduation rates, and continued success later in life. If I turn, school attendance is related to student academic performance and achievement, and community among high school students. Partnerships between schools and community organizations are also associated with increased feelings of school connectedness among students, which is critical given that higher levels of connectedness are related to student academic success.



2

#### COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT: EVIDENCE IN ACTION

The strategies provided here summarize a review of available evidence and best practice recommendations in this domain.\* Strategies are grouped by anticipated resource demand (e.g., funding, time, space, training, materials).

#### Level 1 Strategies: Low resource demand

#### Involve community members in existing prevention efforts

- Prevention programs that involve community members (e.g., an obesity prevention program that involves community members on the data team) are more effective than programs without community involvement.<sup>25</sup>
- Schools can modify existing prevention and intervention initiatives (e.g., obesity prevention, drug and alcohol education, district or school wellness teams) to increase collaboration with a range of community partners (e.g., universities, government agencies, neighborhood or religious groups).<sup>25,26</sup>

### **Evaluate existing community involvement initiatives**

- Strong family-school-community partnership programs are planned and implemented by a team of teachers, administrators, families, and community members who collaborate to engage families and the community through activities that map onto school goals and support student achievement.<sup>27,28</sup>
- A team of school administrators and staff, family members, and community members can collaboratively evaluate current policies and programs to ensure they are matched to the varied needs of students and the community.<sup>19,20</sup>

## Level 2 Strategies: Moderate resource demand

### Invest in building relationships that connect all families

- Relationships that meaningfully engage community agencies or respected community members can help to establish trusting connections between families and school personnel, and facilitate collaborative work to address mutual goals (e.g., reduce absenteeism, welcome new families to the school community).
- Interpreters can help to make communication (both verbal and in writing) more accessible to linguistically diverse students and families.<sup>29,30</sup>

#### Incorporate service learning activities into curricula

- Service learning that incorporates community involvement is associated with improved student academic performance, particularly when opportunities relate to student learning objectives. Service learning can also improve civic engagement, social skills, and student attitudes toward self, school, and learning. 31,33
- Schools can use service learning (e.g., community service or volunteer experiences) to provide students with opportunities to acquire skills and socially responsive behavior.<sup>20,34</sup> These opportunities can draw from the real-world expertise and experiences of a range of community partners to supplement school curricula.<sup>20</sup>

#### Establish shared-use agreements for school and community spaces

- Shared-use agreements outline the terms and conditions of shared or joint use of school grounds and facilities by the school and a city, town, or community entity<sup>35</sup> and can increase implementation and use of programs that benefit student, family, and community health.<sup>36</sup>
- Districts and community partners can establish shared-use agreements to allow coordinated and collaborative use of indoor and outdoor spaces<sup>35</sup> and increase use of school grounds and facilities,<sup>36</sup> physical activity, and provide access to healthy foods for students, families, and community members.<sup>35</sup>

#### Level 3 Strategies: High resource demand

#### Implement a school-based mentoring or volunteer tutoring program

- Participation in school-based mentoring programs can reduce student absences and discipline referrals and can benefit student emotional well-being and self-concept;<sup>14,24</sup> volunteer tutoring programs can improve academic outcomes for students, particularly in reading.<sup>37</sup>
- Schools can implement mentoring and volunteer tutoring programs to build positive, caring connections between students and members of the community (e.g., retired adults, college students, older students) who can serve as role models and provide students with social and academic support.<sup>38</sup>

# Establish programming in collaboration with community partners

- After-school programs can offer a range of activities (e.g., art and music, martial arts) and be implemented by school staff or community members.<sup>39</sup> These opportunities can improve access to enrichment activities and student attendance, academic achievement, and attitudes toward school and community.<sup>40,41</sup>
- Community partners can help to extend school resource offerings to families (e.g., pop-up food pantries; family resource centers; mediation programs to increase conflict management skills and reduced reliance on exclusionary discipline).

\*For more information about the systematic review process we used to identify evidence-based practices, please refer to our overview brief which can be found **here**.



# **ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

Note: The <u>WellSAT WSCC</u> allows users to evaluate district policy alignment with 'best practices' in policy associated with Community Involvement and other WSCC model domains.

#### Child Trends

Students and Communities Can Be Better
Served via Partnerships Between Community
Organizations and Schools

This practice guide focuses on community-school partnerships and their potential to transform school systems.

#### **Great Schools Partnership**

### **Equitable Community Engagement**

This webpage links to tools about community engagement focused on the categories of an introduction to community engagement, community conversations, and policy.

# Institute for Educational Leadership

#### Coalition for Community Schools

This resource explains the values of community schools as well as strategies for strengthening community involvement.

# National Association for Family, School and Community Engagement (NAFSCE)

Resource Library

This searchable database lists resources dedicated to family, school and community engagement.

# National Youth Leadership Council

#### Resource Library

This resource library provides tools for youth interested in strengthening their communities, including resources on service-learning.

# K-12 Service-Learning Standards for Quality Practice

This document provides educators with standards for implementing an effective service-learning program or curriculum.

# Ohio Department of Education & Workforce

# Framework for Building Partnerships Among Schools, Families, and Communities

This webpage provides a framework that can help schools build effective partnerships with families and community members, along with resources for facilitating discussions within schools for strengthening partnerships.

#### Youth Service America

#### YSA Knowledge Center

This online resource center provides guides, toolkits, and other resources to aid in promoting service-learning, volunteering, and engagement in the community for youth.

4

**To cite this brief:** UConn Collaboratory on School and Child Health (2025, June). *V4. WSCC Practice Brief: Community Involvement.* Storrs, CT: Authors.

**Acknowledgements:** We thank Sandra Chafouleas, Jessica Koslouski, and Helene Marcy for their work in developing this brief. We thank Althea Brooks for her expert review of this brief.



# **SOURCES**

- <sup>1</sup> Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development & Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2014). Whole school, whole community, whole child: A collaborative approach to learning and health.
- http://www.ascd.org/ASCD/pdf/siteASCD/publications/wholechild/wscc-a-collaborative-approach.pdf
- <sup>2</sup> Reform Support Network, 2014. *Strategies for community engagement in school turnaround*. U.S. Department of Education.
- https://www2.ed.gov/about/inits/ed/implementationsupport-unit/tech-assist/strategies-for-communityengagement-in-school-turnaround.pdf
- <sup>3</sup> Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015, Pub. L. No. 114-95 § 114 Stat. 1177 (2015-2016).
- <sup>4</sup> Michael, S., Dittus, P., & Epstein, J. (2007). Family and community involvement in schools: Results from the School Health Policies and Programs Study 2006. *Journal of School Health*, 77, 567-587.
- <sup>5</sup> Bryan, J. & Henry, L. (2012). A model for building school-family-community partnerships: Principles and process. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, *90*, 408-420.
- <sup>6</sup> Economos, C. D., Hyatt, R. R., Goldberg, J. P., Must, A., Naumova, E. N., Collins, J. J., & Nelson, M. E. (2007). A community intervention reduces BMI z-score in children: Shape up Somerville first year results. *Obesity*, *15*, 1325-1336.
- <sup>7</sup> Chomitz, V.R., McGowan, R.J., Wendel, J.F., Williams, S.A., Cabral, H.J., King, S.E., Olcott, D.B., Cappello, M., Breen, S., & Hacker, K.A. (2010). Healthy Living Cambridge Kids: A community-based participatory effort to promote healthy weight and fitness. *Obesitv.* 19, S45-S53.
- <sup>8</sup> Hoelscher, D.M., Springer, A.E., Ranjit, N., Perry, C.L., Evans, A.E., Stigler, M., & Kelder, S.H. (2010). Reductions in child obesity among disadvantaged school children with community involvement: The Travis County CATCH trial. *Obesity*, *18*, S36-S44.
- <sup>9</sup> Mason-Jones, A.J., Crisp, C., Momberg, M., Koech, J., De Koker, P., & Mathews, C. (2012). A systematic review of the role of school-based healthcare in adolescent sexual, reproductive, and mental health. *Systematic Reviews*, *1*, 1-12.
- <sup>10</sup> Hull, P., Kilbourne, B., Reece, M., & Husaini, B. (2008). Community involvement and adolescent mental health: Moderating effects of race/ethnicity and neighborhood disadvantage. *Journal of Community Psychology, 36,* 534-551.
- Nooney, J.G. (2005). Religion, stress, and mental health in adolescence: Findings from Add Health. *Review of Religious Research, 46,* 341-354.
  Pancer, S.M., Pratt, M., Hunsberger, B., & Alisat, S. (2007). Community and political involvement in adolescence: What distinguishes the activists from the uninvolved? *Journal of Community Psychology, 35,* 741-759.

- <sup>13</sup> Jimenez, T.I., Musitu, G., Ramos, M.J., & Murgui, S. (2009). Community involvement and victimization at school: An analysis through family, personal and social adjustment. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 37, 959-974.
- <sup>14</sup> Gutman, L. M., & Schoon, I. (2015). Preventive interventions for children and adolescents: A review of meta-analytic evidence. *European Psychologist*, 20(4), 231.
- Williams, C.K., Strickland, A.L., Riggs, N.R., Dyett, A.R., Gibson, Z.R., & Pulskamp, A.D. (2018).
  Colorado Healthy Schools Smart Source: Testing the association between collaboration with community mental health centers and Tier 2 implementation.
  School Mental Health, 10, 163-172.
- <sup>16</sup> Belfield, C. R. & Levin, H.M. (2007). The price we pay: Economic and social consequences of inadequate education. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- <sup>17</sup> Little, P., Wimer, C., & Weiss, H. (2008). Afterschool programs in the 21st century: Their potential and what it takes to achieve it (Issues and Opportunities in Out-of-School Time Evaluation No. 10).
- https://archive.globalfrp.org/evaluation/publications-resources/after-school-programs-in-the-21st-century-their-potential-and-what-it-takes-to-achieve-it <sup>18</sup> Epstein, J.L. (2010a). Caring connections. *Phi Delta*
- Kappan, 92, 65.

  19 Epstein, J.L. (2010b). School/family/community partnerships: Caring for the children we share. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 92, 81-96.
- <sup>20</sup> Willems, P.P., & Gonzalez-DeHass, A.R. (2012). School-community partnerships: Using authentic contexts to academically motivate students. *School Community Journal*, 22, 9-30.
- <sup>21</sup> Gottfried, M.A. (2017). Linking getting to school with going to school. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 39, 571-592.
- <sup>22</sup> Childs, J., & Grooms, A.A. (2018). Improving school attendance through collaboration: A catalyst for community involvement and change. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk, 23,* 122-138.
   <sup>23</sup> Gottfried, M.A. (2011). The detrimental effects of missing school: Evidence from urban siblings.
   *American Journal of Education, 117,* 147-182.
   <sup>24</sup> Gordon, J., Downey, J, & Bangert, A. (2013).
   Effects of a school-based mentoring program on school behavior and measures of adolescent connectedness. *School Community Journal, 23,* 227-248.
- <sup>25</sup> Krishnaswami, J., Martinson, M., Wakimoto, P., & Anglemeyer, A. (2012). Community-engaged interventions on diet, activity, and weight outcomes in U.S. schools. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 43, 81-91.



- <sup>26</sup> McMullen, J. M., George, M., Ingman, B. C., Pulling Kuhn, A., Graham, D. J., & Carson, R. L. (2020). A Systematic Review of Community Engagement Outcomes Research in School-Based Health Interventions. *Journal of School Health*, 90(12), 985–994.
- <sup>27</sup> Epstein, J.L., Sanders, M.G., Sheldon, S.B., Simon, B.S., Clark Salinas, K., Rodriguez Jansorn, N., Martin, C.S., Thomas, B.G., Greenfeld, M.D., Hutchins, D.J., & Williams, K.J. (2009). School, family, and community partnerships: Your handbook for action (3<sup>rd</sup> edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
   <sup>28</sup> Sheldon, S.B. (2007). Improving student attendance with school, family, and community partnerships. The Journal of Educational Research, 100. 267-275.
- Hart, J. E., Cheatham, G., & Jimenez-Silva, M. (2012). Facilitating Quality Language Interpretation for Families of Diverse Students With Special Needs. *Preventing School Failure*, *56*(4), 207–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/1045988X.2011.645910
   Rossetti, Z., Sauer, J. S., Bui, O., & Ou, S. (2017). Developing Collaborative Partnerships with Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Families During the IEP Process. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, *49*(5), 328-338. https://doi.org/10.1177/0040059916680103
   Celio, C.I., Durlak, J., & Dymnicki, A. (2011). A meta-analysis of the impact of service-learning on students. *Journal of Experiential Education*, *34*, 164-181.
- <sup>32</sup> Conway, J.M., Amel, E.L., & Gerwien, D.P. (2009). Teaching and learning in the social context: A meta-analysis of service learning's effects on academic, personal, social, and citizenship outcomes. *Teaching of Psychology*, *36*, 233-245.
- <sup>33</sup> Lin, A. (2015). Citizenship education in American schools and its role in developing civic engagement: A review of the research. *Educational Review*, 67(1), 35-63.

- <sup>34</sup> Bringle, R. G., & Duffy, D. K. (1998). With service in mind: Concepts and models for service-learning in psychology. Washington, DC: American Association for Higher Education, in cooperation with the American Psychological Association.
- <sup>35</sup> Lafleur, M., Gonzalez, E., Schwarte, L., Bantha, R., Kuo, T., Verderber, J., & Simon, P. (2013). Increasing physical activity in under-resourced communities through school-based, joint-use agreements, Los Angeles County, 2010-2012. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 10.
- <sup>36</sup> Burbage, L., Gonzalez, E., Dunning, L., Simon, P., & Kuo, T. (2014). Building mutually beneficial partnerships to improve physical activity opportunities through shared-use efforts in under-resourced communities in Los Angeles County. *Preventive Medicine*, 67, S4-S9.
- <sup>37</sup> Ritter, G.W., Barnett, J.H., Denny, G.S., & Albin, G.R. (2009). The effectiveness of volunteer tutoring programs for elementary and middle school students: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 79, 3-38.
- <sup>38</sup> Wood, S., & Mayo-Wilson, E. (2012). School-based mentoring for adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Research on Social Work Practice*, *23*, 257-269.
- <sup>39</sup> Kremer, K.P., Maynard, B.R., Polanin, J.R., Vaughn, M.G., & Sarteschi, C.M. (2015). Effects of after-school programs with at-risk youth on attendance and externalizing behaviors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 44, 616-636.
- <sup>40</sup> Huang, D., & Cho, J. (2009). Academic enrichment in high-functioning homework afterschool programs. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 23, 382-392.
- <sup>41</sup> McDaniel, S., & Yarbrough, A. (2016). A literature review of afterschool mentoring programs for children at-risk. *The Journal of At-Risk Issues, 19,* 1-9.



6